Interview Summary	10// 12,206	CHELLAPPA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bret Chen	1792	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Bret Chen</u> .	(3) <u>Ehab Samuel</u> .		
(2) <u>Mark Bentley</u> .	(4) <i>Mark Krietzman</i> .		
Date of Interview: <u>06 January 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	J/A .	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed 131 Declaration</u>	on and arguments against Bro	<u>phy</u> .	•
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	reed would rend vould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER REQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE SHEET.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	v been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM∃ WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO
	,		
·			
/Bret Chen/	`		

Application No.

10/712,208

Applicant(s)

CHELLAPPA ET AL.